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• The WLCG Monitoring working group has the mandate to

“….help improve the reliability of the grid infrastructure….”

“…. provide stakeholders with views of the infrastructure allowing them to understand the current and historical status of the service. …”

“… stakeholder are site administrators, grid service managers and operations, VOs, Grid Project management”
Process

- Review existing monitoring systems
- Identify gaps
- Prototype some solutions
- Design integrated architecture for monitoring

“Improving reliability is our goal!”
The pieces to work with…

• The starting point was what we have now:
  – Availability testing framework – SAM/RSV
  – Job and Data reliability monitoring – Gridview
  – Grid topology – GOCDB/Registration DB
  – Dynamic view of the grid – BDII/CeMon
  – Accounting – APEL/Gratia
  – Experiment views – Dashboards
  – Fabric monitoring – Nagios, LEMON, …
  – Grid operations tools – CIC Portal

• They work together right now
  – To a certain extent!
We’ve got an integration problem!
• We need:
  – Loose coupling of systems
  – Distributed components
  – Reliable delivery of messages
  – Standard methods of communication
  – Flexibility to add new producers and consumers of the information without having to reconfigure everything

• Message Oriented Middleware provides this
  – And is widely used in similar scenarios
Reliability and persistence of messaging built into the broker network.
Mitigates the single point of failures we’ve had with previous solutions.

Message delivery is guaranteed.
… or some of them…

- Not a silver bullet
  - Still can end up with spaghetti
- Tight specification of interaction of components is required
  - Message format specifications
  - Standard metadata schema
  - Message Queue naming schemas
  - Protocols
- Standard “Patterns” can act as a basis for most of this

http://enterpriseintegrationpatterns.com/
Reporting for WLCG

- Currently a post-processing of results and graphs in Excel
  - Much manual work needed!
- Try to implement it directly on the GridView DB
- Using a mature open-source reporting toolkit – JasperReports
  - UI Report builder – iReports
  - Web-based report server - OpenReports
## Tier-2 Availability and Reliability Report

### February 2008

**Federation Summary - Sorted by Name**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federation</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
<th>Federation</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AT-HEPHY-OPEN data</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>SI-SIGNET</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU-ATLAS</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>T2_US_Caltech</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE-TIER2</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>T2_US_Florida</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-CERN-P3</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>T2_US_MIT</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN-HEP</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>T2_US_Nebraska</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CZ-Prague-T2</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>T2_US_Purdue</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-Freiburg-GMPERTAL</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>T2_US_UCSD</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE-GSI</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>TW-FTT-T2</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-ATLAS-T2</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>UK-London-Tier2</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-CMS-T2</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>UK-NorthGrid</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES-LHCb-T2</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>UK-SciGrid</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-GRIF</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>UK-SouthGrid</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-IN2P3-CC-T2</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-IN2P3-LPC</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FR-IN2P3-SUBATECH</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-HET-Tier2</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-AE-KOLKATA-TIER2</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-AE-CMS-TIFR</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT-ALICE-federation</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT-ATLAS-federation</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT-CMS-federation</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT-LHCb-federation</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP-Tokyo-ATLAS-T2</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Site Monitoring & Nagios

• More details in next talk:
  – “Simply monitor a grid site with Nagios”

• Nagios has shown itself to be a very useful component for building many part of our monitoring solutions
  – Local Site monitoring
  – Replacing the SAM execution framework
  – gStat – BDII monitoring
    • Probes within Nagios

• Publish site results upwards to be part of availability/reliability computation
Messaging based archiving and reporting
In Production - OSG RSV to SAM

- RSV – Resource and Service Validation
  - Uses Gratia as native transport within OSG
  - And OSG GOC runs a bridge to SAM for WLCG
• Converge to standards, but without a big bang

• Leverage the underlying infrastructures rather than layer lots of systems on top

• Reduce maintenance/development costs by using commodity components whenever possible

• Modular and loosely-coupled to adapt to changes in infrastructure and funding models
Architecture

• Our design for a new architecture leverages commodity software components
  – Probe Execution (Nagios), Messaging (ActiveMQ), Reporting (JasperReports)

• It is essentially an integration exercise
  – Make existing tools work together better

• In order to improve reliability
  – This is what we will verify over the next 12 months